RADemics

AI Models for Faculty Stress Analysis and Workplace Wellbeing Monitoring

Smt. Sonali Madhav Thakur, Shaik Balkhis Banu

MVP SAMAJ'S K.R.T. ARTS, B.H. COMMERCE AND A.M. SCIENCE COLLEGE, FATIMA COLLEGE OF HEALTH SCIENCES

AI Models for Faculty Stress Analysis and Workplace Wellbeing Monitoring

[1]Smt. Sonali Madhav Thakur, Department of Chemistry, MVP SAMAJ'S K.R.T. Arts, B.H. Commerce and A.M. Science College, (K.T.H.M College) Shivaji Nagar, Nashik, Maharashtra, India. kskwsonali@gmail.com

[2]Shaik Balkhis Banu, Assistant Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Fatima college of health sciences, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates. drshaikbalkhis@gmail.com

Abstract

Faculty members in higher education institutions experience increasing occupational pressure arising from expanding teaching responsibilities, research productivity expectations, administrative commitments, and rapidly evolving digital learning environments. Persistent exposure to such multidimensional academic demands contributes to psychological stress, emotional exhaustion, and professional burnout, which influence teaching quality, research outcomes, and overall institutional performance. Conventional stress assessment methods based on periodic surveys and self-reported psychological instruments provide limited capability for continuous monitoring and early identification of stress patterns in academic workplaces. Recent advancements in artificial intelligence and data-driven analytics offer innovative opportunities for developing intelligent systems capable of detecting and analyzing faculty stress through computational models. This book chapter examines the application of artificial intelligence models for faculty stress analysis and workplace wellbeing monitoring within higher education institutions. Emphasis focuses on integration of multimodal data sources, including physiological signals collected through wearable sensing technologies, behavioral interaction patterns derived from digital academic platforms, and communication indicators analyzed through natural language processing techniques. Machine learning algorithms and deep learning architectures are explored as analytical tools capable of identifying complex stress-related patterns in heterogeneous datasets, while hybrid artificial intelligence frameworks provide enhanced predictive capability through integration of multiple computational approaches. The chapter also discusses performance evaluation metrics used for validating stress detection models, including accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and receiver operating characteristic analysis, which ensure reliable assessment of predictive systems. Ethical considerations related to data privacy, responsible monitoring practices, and transparent algorithmic decision-making are also examined within the context of institutional implementation of intelligent wellbeing monitoring technologies. Analytical insights presented in this chapter contribute toward the development of AI-driven decision-support systems that enable academic institutions to identify stress risks, strengthen workplace wellbeing strategies, and support sustainable academic environments.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Faculty Stress Detection, Workplace Wellbeing Monitoring, Machine Learning, Multimodal Data Integration, Deep Learning.

Introduction

The professional landscape of higher education institutions has undergone substantial transformation due to technological advancement, expanding academic responsibilities, and rising institutional expectations. Faculty members operate within complex environments that require simultaneous engagement in teaching, research, administrative service, and student mentorship [1]. Academic professionals maintain responsibility for curriculum development, knowledge dissemination, scholarly publication, and institutional development initiatives. Continuous emphasis on academic productivity, quality education, and global competitiveness contributes to demanding professional environments across universities and colleges [2]. Faculty members frequently encounter intense workloads associated with lecture preparation, student assessment, academic advising, research collaboration, and participation in institutional committees. Academic institutions increasingly emphasize research visibility, international collaboration, and measurable performance indicators, creating additional pressure within professional environments [3]. Sustained exposure to such multifaceted responsibilities influences psychological wellbeing and professional satisfaction among faculty members. Occupational stress within academic professions therefore represents a significant concern affecting not only individual faculty wellbeing but also institutional productivity and educational quality [4]. Rising expectations regarding academic performance and professional engagement intensify mental and emotional strain experienced by educators across higher education systems. Recognition of faculty wellbeing as a crucial element in sustainable educational development has therefore gained increasing attention among researchers, institutional administrators, and policymakers. Effective strategies for monitoring and addressing occupational stress among faculty members contribute toward healthier academic environments and improved organizational outcomes within universities [5].

Faculty stress originates from multiple interconnected factors associated with academic work structures and institutional expectations. Teaching responsibilities require continuous intellectual preparation, classroom engagement, evaluation of student performance, and adaptation to evolving pedagogical practices [6]. Rapid integration of digital technologies within education introduces additional cognitive demands as faculty members incorporate learning management systems, virtual classrooms, and digital communication platforms into instructional practices [7]. Research productivity expectations further contribute to professional pressure through requirements related to scholarly publications, research grant acquisition, and conference participation. Academic evaluation systems frequently rely on quantifiable performance indicators that emphasize publication output, citation metrics, and external funding achievements [8]. Administrative duties also form a substantial component of faculty responsibilities, including involvement in accreditation activities, curriculum development committees, academic governance, and institutional planning processes. These diverse obligations create environments characterized by persistent time constraints and high professional expectations [9]. Emotional exhaustion and cognitive fatigue often emerge when academic professionals attempt to balance competing responsibilities associated with teaching excellence, research productivity, and administrative engagement. Workplace stress within academic contexts therefore develops through complex interactions among workload distribution, institutional policies, and individual coping capacities. Understanding the nature of such occupational stress forms an essential step toward developing effective mechanisms for monitoring faculty wellbeing [10].